



CYNGOR SIR
YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY
COUNTY COUNCIL

For office use only:

Representor No.

Date received:

Date acknowledged:

**Anglesey and Gwynedd Deposit Joint Local Development Plan 2011-2026
Representation Form**

PART 1: Contact details

	Your details/ Your client's details	Agent's details (if relevant)
Name	██████████	
Address	CPRW/Campaign for Protection of Rural Wales, ██ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████ ██████████	
██████████	██████████	
████████████████████	██████████	
██████████	████████████████████	

PART 2: Your Comments and Suggested Changes. *(Please use one Part 2 section for each comment that you wish to make)*

2a. Which part of the Deposit Plan are you commenting on?	
CPRW 2 Communities General Comment	
Policy number <i>(please specify)</i>	7.1 Communities
Paragraph number <i>(please specify)</i>	
Proposals/ Inset Map <i>(please specify ref no.)</i>	
Constraints Map	
Appendices <i>(please specify)</i>	

2b. Are you objecting or supporting the Deposit Plan?			
Objecting	<input type="checkbox"/>	Supporting	<input type="checkbox"/>

2c. Please provide details of your representation on the Deposit Plan.

2. Communities General Comment

2.1 Context. The JLDP policy discussion (7.1) gives first priority to ‘safe, healthy, distinctive and vibrant communities’. We agree that support to communities should be given emphasis, including in particular primacy to policies to preserve and promote Welsh language and culture which are so fundamental to the local social fabric. Most of the other ‘community’ policies covered, however, relate to the provision of physical infrastructure including roads. These are relevant but do not necessarily address the core needs to sustain livelihoods, housing, services and well-being of rural communities. In particular, they do not address a perception that a trend towards greater centralisation of planning policies and decisions is reducing the influence small rural communities have over their local living conditions and development, that genuine local consultation is weak and that local representation through community councils is ineffective. These concerns may be felt to be beyond the remit of an LDP, but they should at least be acknowledged and discussed. How will the JLDP support greater localism in planning decisions? What, for instance, would be the relationship between the JLDP and the Community Place Plans proposed in the Planning Bill? How will public consultation be made more effective?

2ch. If your response to 2c above exceeds 100 words, please provide a summary (no more than 100 words).

2d. Please detail the changes you wish to see made to the Deposit Plan.

More attention to mechanisms for increasing the influence of small rural communities over their local living conditions and development.

2dd. Is the Deposit Plan sound?

Yes No NO

2e. If you think that the Deposit Plan is unsound which test of soundness do you think that it fails? (Please tick below). *More details are provided at the back of this form.*

Procedural				Consistency				Coherence & Effectiveness										
P1	<input type="checkbox"/>	P2	<input type="checkbox"/>	C1	<input type="checkbox"/>	C2	<input type="checkbox"/>	C3	<input type="checkbox"/>	C4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	CE 1	<input type="checkbox"/>	CE 2	<input type="checkbox"/>	CE 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	CE 4