

REP NO: 694

ID: 2857

		CYNGOR SIR YNYS MÔN ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL	For office use only: Representor No. Date received: 30.03.15 Date acknowledged:
---	---	--	---

NEED TO SCAN

**Anglesey and Gwynedd Deposit Joint Local Development Plan 2011-2026
Representation Form**

Data Protection

How your representation and the information that you give us will be used. All information submitted will be seen in full by the Joint Planning Policy Unit staff dealing with the Joint Local Development Plan (Joint LDP). Your name and comments as set out in your representation form will be published together with the Councils' response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. Please note that this form may also be made available to any Public Examination on the Joint LDP.

We would prefer that you submit your representations directly online. Alternatively, an electronic version of this form can be completed online at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.

Additional representation forms can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on 01286 685003 or may be downloaded from the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp or you may photocopy this form. When making comments please use additional sheets as required clearly numbering each consecutive sheet.

PART 1: Contact details

	Your details/ Your client's details	Agent's details (if relevant)
Name	Kate Barker	
Address		
Postcode		
Telephone Number		
Email address		

Guidance Note.

Please set out your comments in Part 2 of this form. Use additional sheets of paper where necessary. **Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.**

Question 2dd and 2e seeks your views on the soundness of the Joint Deposit Plan. The tests of soundness and additional information on how they are applied are detailed on the last page of this form.

If you want changes made to the Deposit Plan, please be as specific as you can. For example, if you want new text added, please set out the new text and explain where you would like it to go in the Deposit Plan and why. Similarly, if you want to add a new or amend a policy or a paragraph, please set out clearly the new text and explain where you think it should go in the Deposit Plan and why.

If you wish to delete a site that is allocated in the Deposit Plan or suggest amendments to it or you wish to propose a new site, please attach a 1:1250 or 1:2500 scale plan that clearly identifies the site boundaries. If you are proposing a new site (one that is not included within the Deposit Plan) the comment form must be accompanied by a detailed site assessment in accordance with the Council's Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework. The Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework can be found on the Council's website at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

Further information about this matter can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on **01286 685003** or on the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp in the leaflet 'Guidance about alternative sites'.

Where proposed changes to a development plan have significant sustainability effects, you will need to provide the relevant Sustainability Appraisal information. This information must be consistent with the scope and level of detail of the Sustainability Appraisal conducted by the Authority. It should also refer to the same baseline information in identifying the likely significant effects of the revised policy or new site.

You should include all your comments on the Deposit Plan and set out your full case on the official form, using accompanying documents where necessary. If you seek more than one change and consider that the Deposit Plan fails to meet more than one test of soundness **separate forms should be completed for each representation**. Similarly, if your representation is in support of the Deposit Plan or individual elements of the Deposit Plan it would be helpful if separate representations were made. Please indicate if you are submitting other material to support your comments.

You will only be able to submit further information to the Examination if the Inspector invites you to address matters that he or she may raise. Please note that the Inspector will not have access to comments you have made in response to previous consultations. If you do not consider the Deposit Plan to be sound and that it should be changed, please explain clearly why you think the changes are needed. If you think a change is needed for the Deposit Plan to meet one or more of the tests of soundness, please tell us which one(s).

Where a group shares a common view on how it wishes the Deposit Plan to be changed, the Councils will accept a signed petition. In submitting a representation form on behalf of a group, the representation form should include the contact details of a lead individual at Section 1 and the comments should be clearly set out on the representation form. The signed petition should state clearly how many people are being represented and how the representation has been authorised. Signing a petition does not prevent the submission of individual forms.

PART 2: Your Comments and Suggested Changes. (Please use one Part 2 section for each comment that you wish to make)

2a. Which part of the Deposit Plan are you commenting on?

Policy number (please specify)	ADM1 and AT1
Paragraph number (please specify)	
Proposals/ Inset Map (please specify ref no.)	All Maps are pixillated and detail is not legible
Constraints Map	Maps pixillate when zoom in so detail is not legible
Appendices (please specify)	

2b. Are you objecting or supporting the Deposit Plan?

Objecting	☐	Supporting	☒
-----------	--------------------------	------------	-------------------------------------

2c. Please provide details of your representation on the Deposit Plan.

I appreciate the time and effort that it takes to put such a strategy together and in Principal I support the idea of the Deposit Plan, however there are a number of reasons that make it un-sound. It is essential that the strategy is based on fact and correct detail rather than generalisations. Where I have checked the fact it is lacking, see below. This response is based on areas where I believe the plan is not sound, with some suggestions on how to remedy the issues raised.

P1 It has not been prepared in accordance with the Delivery Agreement including the Community Involvement Scheme.

The public consultation period is far too short for such a long, complicated document. It is not possible to check evidence on such a large and complicated document in just 6 weeks. The public consultation period therefore looks like a token gesture or a box ticking exercise rather than a genuine part of the process.

It is not possible to read the interactive maps online – the detail pixillates as you zoom in.
The public consultation period should be extended.

P1 CONT Public response (over 800 responses) to the previous LDP consultation on Ynys Mon (2012) has not been taken into account and in particular the section **ADM1** on Wind- turbines does not reflect previous agreements based on community wishes: the main ones are size of turbine no greater than 15m to tip height, set back of 1.5 KM from dwellings because of overbearing impact and health issues.

CE2 The strategy, policies and allocations are not realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and/or are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.

The plan is unsound in fundamental areas for example Ynys Mon population is barely increasing, young is decreasing but an assumption has been made and housing policy formulated on an assumption not substantiated by fact.

Tourism versus Wind energy development/industrial scale farm development and increased infrastructure are not complimentary strategies – tourists come to the beautiful North Wales and Ynys Mon to get away from industrial infrastructure. There is therefore a fundamental flaw in a plan that suggests small scale wind turbines are 50 metres high – this is a very tall structure, over 160 feet high and very intrusive in a rural landscape. Tourism needs to be encouraged and protected, the plan is not sound because in Ynys Mon it does not properly protect tourism vs industrial farming methods

and industrial wind and is therefore not realistic to safeguard the island's economy. The county is too small to absorb what are in reality large industrial-scale developments.

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

The plan states 'How the Councils will protect and enhance the natural and historic environments that make (the Plan area so special.' In my experience this does not always happen in practice. There are mechanisms in place to protect natural and historic environments but they are not always adhered to. For example, building industrial sized farm sheds close to protected and sensitive sites, placing wind –turbines next to nature reserves etc. Particularly now that the classification for 'small scale' wind development is for structures 50 metres high **ADM1**. We need a mechanism and clear route for appeal against the grant of approval in planning decisions other than through the courts, particularly if policy appears to have been breached in granting those decisions.

CE3 CONT. Policy AT1 – the constraints maps are a good idea but they contain no mechanism for indicating the importance of the landscape setting to the heritage asset and do not mark the landscape around the heritage asset. If a listed building or a listed garden or park or a protected landscape is marked on the map, The area surrounding the heritage asset that preserves the setting of the heritage asset or is an integral feature of the setting of that heritage asset should also be shown as a protected area on the constraint maps, not just the asset site itself.. This is not the case at the moment – for example Ty Fry on Anglesey is shown on the constraint map for Ynys Mon, but although the importance of the historic house and garden there is based equally on important views out of and into the site, nothing but the site itself is marked on the map.

CE4 The facility to make changes to a local plan is a complicated one and would probably justify an entire document or consultation in its own right.

I would have made more comments but do not have the time to do this within the set time schedule so have concentrated on the issues above

Kate Barker 30th March 2015

Please use additional sheet if necessary.

Please state how many additional sheets have been used.....

One additional sheet has been used

2ch. If your response to 2c above exceeds 100 words, please provide a summary (no more than 100 words).

The document is unsound because some policy appears to be made on inaccurate generalisations, and there is not enough detail on some issues for example the importance of the landscape AROUND heritage asset sites - there is no mechanism to show these areas on the constraint maps. The document is also unsound because it does not take into account previous public consultation responses on the LDP where 800 people wrote in about their concerns n wind turbine development in 2012.

2d. Please detail the changes you wish to see made to the Deposit Plan.

1. Public consultation period should be extended;
2. Maps should be re-issued so they do not pixilate when you zoom in and so that the detail is legible;
3. Public wishes on height of wind-turbines not exceeding 15m to tip height should replace the 50m stated in the development plan;
4. A set back distance from dwellings should be observed of 1.5 or 2 kilometres because of health and loss of amenity;
5. We need a mechanism and clear route for appeal against the grant of approval in planning decisions other than through the courts, particularly if policy appears to have been breached in granting those decisions.
6. The area surrounding the heritage asset that preserves the setting of the heritage asset or is an integral feature of the setting of that heritage asset should also be shown as a protected area on the constraint maps, not just the asset site itself.

2dd. Is the Deposit Plan sound?																			
Yes				☐				No				☒							
2e. If you think that the Deposit Plan is unsound which test of soundness do you think that it fails? (Please tick below). <i>More details are provided at the back of this form.</i>																			
Procedural				Consistency								Coherence & Effectiveness							
P1	☒	P2	☒	C1	☐	C2	☐	C3	☐	C4	☐	CE 1	☐	CE 2	☒	CE 3	☒	CE 4	☒

Part 3: What Happens Next?

At this stage of the Joint LDP process, you can only make comments in writing (these are called 'written representations'). However, the Inspector may call on those who want to change the Plan to appear and speak to the Inspector at a 'hearing session' during the Public Examination. You should bear in mind that your written comments on this form will be given the same weight by the Inspector as those made verbally at a hearing session.

3a. Do you want your comments to be considered by 'written representations' or do you want to speak at a hearing session of the Public Examination? (Please tick one of the following)

I do not want to speak at a hearing session and am happy for my written comments to be considered by the Inspector.	☐
I want to speak at a hearing session.	☒

3b. If you wish to speak, please confirm which part of your representation you wish to speak to the Inspector about and why you consider it to be necessary to speak at the Hearing.

I dont think it is necessary to speak but I am happy to speak on the heritage asset issues I have raised in this document

3c. Would you like to be informed about the following (Please tick the relevant boxes)

Submission of documents and evidence to the examination	☒
Publish Inspector's report	☒
Plan's adoption	☒

If additional documents have been provided to support your representations, please list below:

Signed: 	Dated: 30th March 2015
--	-------------------------------

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS ON THE DEPOSIT PLAN

Please do not forget to enclose any relevant documentation (e.g. a Sustainability Appraisal) with this form.

Completed representation forms should be returned to the Joint Planning Policy Unit at:

ONLINE – By completing the electronic form at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

BY EMAIL – planningpolicy@gwynedd.gov.uk

BY POST – By sending to: Joint Planning Policy Unit, 1st Floor, Bangor City Council Offices, Ffordd Gwynedd, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 1DT

REPRESENTATION FORMS SHOULD BE RETURNED BY NO LATER THAN 5.00pm on the 31st March 2015
REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AFTER THIS TIME WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED

Test of Soundness

Test	Procedural Tests
P1	It has not been prepared in accordance with the Delivery Agreement including the Community Involvement Scheme.
P2	The plan and its policies have not been subjected to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment.
	Consistency Tests
C1	It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
C2	It does not have regard to national policy.
C3	It does not have regard to the Wales Spatial Plan.
C4	It does not have regard to the relevant community strategy.
	Coherence and Effectiveness Tests
CE1	The plan does not set out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and/or, where cross boundary issues are relevant, it is not compatible with the development plans prepared by neighbouring authorities.
CE2	The strategy, policies and allocations are not realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and/or are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
CE3	There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
CE4	It is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 states that the purpose of the examination of a Local Development Plan (the Plan) is to consider whether it is "sound". This means that anyone who wants to comment on or object to the Deposit Plan should seek to say how it is unsound and what is needed to make it sound. Sound may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of "*showing good judgement*" and "*able to be trusted*". To assess the Deposit Plan we use 10 tests as set out above. The Deposit Plan will be examined by an independent Inspector appointed by the Welsh Government and it will be the Inspector's job to consider whether the Plan is sound.

Where you propose a change to the Deposit Plan you should therefore make clear which test(s) of soundness you believe the Deposit Plan fails. The tests are in 3 groups - 'Procedural' (2 tests); 'Conformity' (4 tests); and 'Coherence and Effectiveness' (4 tests). If you wish to comment on the way in which the Councils have prepared the Deposit Plan, it is likely that your comments or objections would fall under one of the procedural tests. If you wish to comment on or object to the content of the Deposit Plan, it may help to look at the 'consistency' and the 'coherence and effectiveness' tests.

Davies Nia Haf (Rh-CTGC)

From: JULIA DOBSON [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 March 2015 16:50
To: Polisi Cynllunio
Cc: Roger Dobson
Subject: Comment on the JDLP Deposit Plan

*For office use only:
Representor No.
Date received:
Date acknowledged:*

Anglesey and Gwynedd Deposit Joint Local Development Plan 2011-2026 Representation Form

Data Protection

How your representation and the information that you give us will be used. All information submitted will be seen in full by the Joint Planning Policy Unit staff dealing with the Joint Local Development Plan (Joint LDP). Your name and comments as set out in your representation form will be published together with the Councils' response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. Please note that this form may also be made available to any Public Examination on the Joint LDP.

We would prefer that you submit your representations directly online. Alternatively, an electronic version of this form can be completed online at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp. Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.

Additional representation forms can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on 01286 685003 or may be downloaded from the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp or you may photocopy this form. When making comments please use additional sheets as required clearly numbering each consecutive sheet.

PART 1: Contact details

	Your details/ Your client's details	Agent's details (if relevant)
Name	J DOBSON	
Address	[REDACTED]	
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email address	[REDACTED]	

Guidance Note.

Please set out your comments in Part 2 of this form. Use additional sheets of paper where necessary. **Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.**

Question 2dd and 2e seeks your views on the soundness of the Joint Deposit Plan. The tests of soundness and additional information on how they are applied are detailed on the last page of this form.

If you want changes made to the Deposit Plan, please be as specific as you can. For example, if you want new text added, please set out the new text and explain where you would like it to go in the Deposit Plan and why. Similarly, if you want to add a new or amend a policy or a paragraph, please set out clearly the new text and explain where you think it should go in the Deposit Plan and why.

If you wish to delete a site that is allocated in the Deposit Plan or suggest amendments to it or you wish to propose a new site, please attach a 1:1250 or 1:2500 scale plan that clearly identifies the site boundaries. If you are proposing a new site (one that is not included within the Deposit Plan) the comment form must be accompanied by a detailed site assessment in accordance with the Council's Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework. The Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework can be found on the Council's website at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

Further information about this matter can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on **01286 685003** or on the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp in the leaflet 'Guidance about alternative sites'.

Where proposed changes to a development plan have significant sustainability effects, you will need to provide the relevant Sustainability Appraisal information. This information must be consistent with the scope and level of detail of the Sustainability Appraisal conducted by the Authority. It should also refer to the same baseline information in identifying the likely significant effects of the revised policy or new site.

You should include all your comments on the Deposit Plan and set out your full case on the official form, using accompanying documents where necessary. If you seek more than one change and consider that the Deposit Plan fails to meet more than one test of soundness **separate forms should be completed for each representation**. Similarly, if your representation is in support of the Deposit Plan or individual elements of the Deposit Plan it would be helpful if separate representations were made. Please indicate if you are submitting other material to support your comments.

You will only be able to submit further information to the Examination if the Inspector invites you to address matters that he or she may raise. Please note that the Inspector will not have access to comments you have made in response to previous consultations. If you do not consider the Deposit Plan to be sound and that it should be changed, please explain clearly why you think the changes are needed. If you think a change is needed for the Deposit Plan to meet one or more of the tests of soundness, please tell us which one(s).

Where a group shares a common view on how it wishes the Deposit Plan to be changed, the Councils will accept a signed petition. In submitting a representation form on behalf of a group, the representation form should include the contact details of a lead individual at Section 1 and the comments should be clearly set out on the representation form. The signed petition should state clearly how many people are being represented and how the representation has been authorised. Signing a petition does not prevent the submission of individual forms.

PART 2: Your Comments and Suggested Changes. (Please use one Part 2 section for each comment that you wish to make)

2a. Which part of the Deposit Plan are you commenting on?

Policy number (please specify)

ADN1

Paragraph number (please specify)

7.2 - from page 84 of Deposit Plan

Proposals/ Inset Map (please specify ref no.)

Constraints Map

Maps are illegible, hence cannot comment

Appendices (please specify)

2b. Are you objecting or supporting the Deposit Plan?

Objecting

OBJECTING

Supporting

2c. Please provide details of your representation on the Deposit Plan.

In 2012/13 Anglesey Council compiled, consulted and agreed a SPG which specified limits on the growth of wind turbines. This Deposit Plan completely ignores those decisions.

In fact, the Plan classifies 50 metre high wind turbines as "small-scale", over 6 times the height of an average house!

As if by magic, most of the turbines in the existing wind farms in the north of the island are now "small" and the island's entire interior can be transformed by developments of this scale and type if the Plan proceeds

My local area of Cemaes Bay will be severely affected by the potential re-powering of the 24 turbines, some within 500m of the Coastal Path. Tourists are very important to my area and when questioned last Summer, many said they would not visit/stay in Cemaes if the village and beaches were to be overlooked by the HUGE large-scale new turbines.

It is immoral to encourage turbine companies to bribe our County, Town and Community Councils with "Community Benefit" money. There are future generations to be considered. Our County's landscape, residents and tourism are already seriously threatened by other large scale Energy Island projects such as Wylfa Newydd and National Grid's pylons.

No other huge industrial scale developments are given such free rein.

8000 islanders, who signed a petition in 2012 (as part of the SPG consultation process) calling for a 1.5 km separation distance between homes and industrial commercial turbines are being ignored, and the Supplementary Planning Guidance on Onshore Wind Energy, subject to exhaustive public consultations, is being thrown out.

*Please use additional sheet if necessary.
Please state how many additional sheets have been used.....*

2ch. If your response to 2c above exceeds 100 words, please provide a summary (no more than 100 words).

The Plan classifies 50 metre high wind turbines as "small-scale", over 6 times the height of an average house!

Most of the turbines in the existing wind farms in the north of the island are now "small" and the island's entire interior can be transformed by developments of this scale and type if the Plan proceeds

The Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) on Onshore Wind Energy, subject to exhaustive public consultations, is being thrown out.

2d. Please detail the changes you wish to see made to the Deposit Plan.

I want to see the provisions of the SPG with respect to wind turbines and passed in 2012/13 to replace the JLDP provisions contained in the Deposit Plan.

2dd. Is the Deposit Plan sound?

Yes No

2e. If you think that the Deposit Plan is unsound which test of soundness do you think that it fails? (Please tick below).
More details are provided at the back of this form.

Procedural	Consistency	Coherence & Effectiveness								
P1		P2	C1	C2	C3	C4	CE1	CE2	CE3	CE4

I am not competent to comment on the procedural issues known as "Tests of Soundness", though I would say that to call them tests of soundness abuses the English language. Conceptually, as a policy for the future of Anglesey, it is fundamentally unsound.

Part 3: What Happens Next?

At this stage of the Joint LDP process, you can only make comments in writing (these are called 'written representations'). However, the Inspector may call on those who want to change the Plan to appear and speak to the Inspector at a 'hearing session' during the Public Examination. You should bear in mind that your written comments on this form will be given the same weight by the Inspector as those made verbally at a hearing session.

3a. Do you want your comments to be considered by 'written representations' or do you want to speak at a hearing session of the Public Examination? (Please tick one of the following)

I do not want to speak at a hearing session and am happy for my written comments to be considered by the Inspector. YES

I want to speak at a hearing session. NO

3b. If you wish to speak, please confirm which part of your representation you wish to speak to the Inspector about and why you consider it to be necessary to speak at the Hearing.

3c. Would you like to be informed about the following (Please tick the relevant boxes)

Submission of documents and evidence to the examination

Publish Inspector's report

Plan's adoption

If additional documents have been provided to support your representations, please list below:

Signed:



Dated: 31.03.15

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS ON THE DEPOSIT PLAN

Please do not forget to enclose any relevant documentation (e.g. a Sustainability Appraisal) with this form.

Completed representation forms should be returned to the Joint Planning Policy Unit at:

ONLINE – By completing the electronic form at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

BY EMAIL – planningpolicy@gwynedd.gov.uk

BY POST – By sending to: Joint Planning Policy Unit, 1st Floor, Bangor City Council Offices, Ffordd Gwynedd, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 1DT

REPRESENTATION FORMS SHOULD BE RETURNED BY NO LATER THAN 5.00pm on the 31st March 2015

REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AFTER THIS TIME WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED

Test of Soundness

Test Procedural Tests

P1 It has not been prepared in accordance with the Delivery Agreement including the Community Involvement Scheme.

P2 The plan and its policies have not been subjected to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment.

Consistency Tests

C1 It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.

C2 It does not have regard to national policy.

C3 It does not have regard to the Wales Spatial Plan.

C4 It does not have regard to the relevant community strategy.

Coherence and Effectiveness Tests

CE1 The plan does not set out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and/or, where cross boundary issues are relevant, it is not compatible with the development plans prepared by neighbouring authorities.

CE2 The strategy, policies and allocations are not realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and/or are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.

CE3 There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.

CE4 It is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 states that the purpose of the examination of a Local Development Plan (the Plan) is to consider whether it is "sound". This means that anyone who wants to comment on or object to the Deposit Plan should seek to say how it is unsound and what is needed to make it sound. Sound may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of "showing good judgement" and "able to be trusted". To assess the Deposit Plan we use 10 tests as set out above. The Deposit Plan will be examined by an independent Inspector appointed by the Welsh Government and it will be the Inspector's job to consider whether the Plan is sound.

Where you propose a change to the Deposit Plan you should therefore make clear which test(s) of soundness you believe the Deposit Plan fails. The tests are in 3 groups - 'Procedural' (2 tests); 'Conformity' (4 tests); and 'Coherence and Effectiveness' (4 tests). If you wish to comment on the way in which the Councils have prepared the Deposit Plan, it is likely that your comments or objections would fall under one of the procedural tests. If you wish to comment on or object to the content of the Deposit Plan, it may help to look at the 'consistency' and the 'coherence and effectiveness' tests.

		CYNGOR SIR YNYS MÔN ISLE OF ANGLESEY COUNTY COUNCIL	For office use only: Representor No. 2989/531 Date received: 20/3/15 Date acknowledged:
ID 2989 Ref: 531.			

**Anglesey and Gwynedd Deposit Joint Local Development Plan 2011-2026
Representation Form**

Data Protection

How your representation and the information that you give us will be used. All information submitted will be seen in full by the Joint Planning Policy Unit staff dealing with the Joint Local Development Plan (Joint LDP). Your name and comments as set out in your representation form will be published together with the Councils' response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. Please note that this form may also be made available to any Public Examination on the Joint LDP.

We would prefer that you submit your representations directly online. Alternatively, an electronic version of this form can be completed online at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.

Additional representation forms can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on 01286 685003 or may be downloaded from the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp or you may photocopy this form. When making comments please use additional sheets as required clearly numbering each consecutive sheet.

PART 1: Contact details

	Your details/ Your client's details	Agent's details (if relevant)
Name	JOHN BIRCHAM	
Address		
Postcode		
Telephone Number		
Email address		

PART 2: Your Comments and Suggested Changes. (Please use one Part 2 section for each comment that you wish to make)

2a. Which part of the Deposit Plan are you commenting on?

Policy number (please specify)	
Paragraph number (please specify)	
Proposals/ Inset Map (please specify ref no.)	
Constraints Map	
Appendices (please specify)	

2b. Are you objecting or supporting the Deposit Plan?

Objecting	☐	Supporting	☐
-----------	--------------------------	------------	--------------------------

2c. Please provide details of your representation on the Deposit Plan.

WIND TURBINES HAVE AN UNACCEPTABLE
VISUAL IMPACT ON A RURAL COUNTRYSIDE
THE CONCRETE BASE FOR EACH TURBINE
WILL BE FORGOTTEN LONG AFTER TURBINES
HAVE GONE WITH THE GRANTS



CYNGOR SIR
YNYS MÔN
ISLE OF ANGLESEY
COUNTY COUNCIL

For office use only:

Representor No.

Date received: 30/3/15
(elbow)

Date acknowledged:

**Anglesey and Gwynedd Deposit Joint Local Development Plan 2011-2026
Representation Form**

Data Protection

How your representation and the information that you give us will be used. All information submitted will be seen in full by the Joint Planning Policy Unit staff dealing with the Joint Local Development Plan (Joint LDP). Your name and comments as set out in your representation form will be published together with the Councils' response. This helps to show that the consultation was carried out properly. Please note that this form may also be made available to any Public Examination on the Joint LDP.

We would prefer that you submit your representations directly online. Alternatively, an electronic version of this form can be completed online at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp Separate forms should be completed for each comment that you wish to make.

Additional representation forms can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on 01286 685003 or may be downloaded from the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp or you may photocopy this form. When making comments please use additional sheets as required clearly numbering each consecutive sheet.

PART 1: Contact details

	Your details/ Your client's details	Agent's details (if relevant)
Name	Janet Smith	
Address		
Postcode		
Telephone Number		
Email address		

Guidance Note.

Please set out your comments in Part 2 of this form. Use additional sheets of paper where necessary. **Separate forms should be completed for each comment** that you wish to make.

Question 2dd and 2e seeks your views on the soundness of the Joint Deposit Plan. The tests of soundness and additional information on how they are applied are detailed on the last page of this form.

If you want changes made to the Deposit Plan, please be as specific as you can. For example, if you want new text added, please set out the new text and explain where you would like it to go in the Deposit Plan and why. Similarly, if you want to add a new or amend a policy or a paragraph, please set out clearly the new text and explain where you think it should go in the Deposit Plan and why.

If you wish to delete a site that is allocated in the Deposit Plan or suggest amendments to it or you wish to propose a new site, please attach a 1:1250 or 1:2500 scale plan that clearly identifies the site boundaries. If you are proposing a new site (one that is not included within the Deposit Plan) the comment form must be accompanied by a detailed site assessment in accordance with the Council's Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework. The Candidate Site assessment methodology and the Sustainability Appraisal framework can be found on the Council's website at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

Further information about this matter can be obtained from the Joint Planning Policy Unit on **01286 685003** or on the Council's web site at: www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp in the leaflet 'Guidance about alternative sites'.

Where proposed changes to a development plan have significant sustainability effects, you will need to provide the relevant Sustainability Appraisal information. This information must be consistent with the scope and level of detail of the Sustainability Appraisal conducted by the Authority. It should also refer to the same baseline information in identifying the likely significant effects of the revised policy or new site.

You should include all your comments on the Deposit Plan and set out your full case on the official form, using accompanying documents where necessary. If you seek more than one change and consider that the Deposit Plan fails to meet more than one test of soundness **separate forms should be completed for each representation**. Similarly, if your representation is in support of the Deposit Plan or individual elements of the Deposit Plan it would be helpful if separate representations were made. Please indicate if you are submitting other material to support your comments.

You will only be able to submit further information to the Examination if the Inspector invites you to address matters that he or she may raise. Please note that the Inspector will not have access to comments you have made in response to previous consultations. If you do not consider the Deposit Plan to be sound and that it should be changed, please explain clearly why you think the changes are needed. If you think a change is needed for the Deposit Plan to meet one or more of the tests of soundness, please tell us which one(s).

Where a group shares a common view on how it wishes the Deposit Plan to be changed, the Councils will accept a signed petition. In submitting a representation form on behalf of a group, the representation form should include the contact details of a lead individual at Section 1 and the comments should be clearly set out on the representation form. The signed petition should state clearly how many people are being represented and how the representation has been authorised. Signing a petition does not prevent the submission of individual forms.

PART 2: Your Comments and Suggested Changes. (Please use one Part 2 section for each comment that you wish to make)

2a. Which part of the Deposit Plan are you commenting on?

Policy number (please specify)	ADNi
Paragraph number (please specify)	7.2 (from page 84 of Deposit plan)
Proposals/ Inset Map (please specify ref no.)	
Constraints Map	I am sorry that I cannot comment, but I am unable to decipher the map due to its illegibility
Appendices (please specify)	

2b. Are you objecting or supporting the Deposit Plan?

Objecting	☐ OBJECTING	Supporting	☐
-----------	------------------------------------	------------	--------------------------

2c. Please provide details of your representation on the Deposit Plan.

The agreed SPG document, produced in 2013 by Ynys Mon County Council, after lengthy consultations, representations and hearings, made decisions and recommendations with regard to wind turbines. For some reason the Deposit Plan contained in the Local Development Plan currently under consultation, appears to totally ignore those decisions.

For example, the DP is now proposing to re-classify wind turbines – proposing that 50 metre high wind turbines are now “small-scale”. I should like to point out that 50 metres is more than 6 times the height of an average house.

This re-classification is, in my view, devious and completely ignores the outcome of the previous consultations – where it was clearly established that large scale wind farms across Ynys Mon would be damaging to the island’s economy and natural heritage. If it were to be approved, this re-classification would, at a stroke, render most of the turbines in the existing wind farms in the north of the island as “small” .

This would give carte blanche for the transformation of Ynys Mon into a massive wind farm and spell its destruction – environmentally, socially and economically. I cannot envisage any other type of industrial development on this scale being permitted.

Having conducted a democratic process of consultation two years ago, I find it inconceivable that that the Council would consider ignoring that process, and its conclusions. The Planning Department may remember that 8000 voters on Ynys Mon signed a petition in 2012 (as part of the SPG consultation process) calling for a 1.5 km separation distance between homes and industrial commercial turbines. This is being completely ignored, as is the Supplementary Planning Guidance on Onshore Wind Energy, which, despite the fact that it was subject to exhaustive public consultations, is being thrown out.

*Please use additional sheet if necessary.
Please state how many additional sheets have been used.....*

2ch. If your response to 2c above exceeds 100 words, please provide a summary (no more than 100 words).

OBJECTION: To the re-classification 50 metre high wind turbines as "small-scale".

OBJECTION: to the consequence of this re-classification that existing wind farms in the north of the island would be "small" opening the way for the transformation of the Island to large scale industrial development.

OBJECTION: The Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) on Onshore Wind Energy, subject to exhaustive public consultations, is being thrown out.

2d. Please detail the changes you wish to see made to the Deposit Plan.

The provisions of the SPG with respect to wind turbines and passed in 2012/13 to replace the JLDP provisions contained in the Deposit Plan.

2dd. Is the Deposit Plan sound?

Yes	☐	No	☐	no															
2e. If you think that the Deposit Plan is unsound which test of soundness do you think that it fails? (Please tick below). <i>More details are provided at the back of this form.</i>																			
Procedural		Consistency				Coherence & Effectiveness													
P1	☐	P2	☒	C1	☒	C2	☐	C3	☐	C4	☒	CE 1	☐	CE 2	☐	CE 3	☒	CE 4	☒

The section of the Deposit Plan to which I am objecting seems to fail procedural, consistency and coherence criteria and, as such, is an unsound document.

Part 3: What Happens Next?

At this stage of the Joint LDP process, you can only make comments in writing (these are called 'written representations'). However, the Inspector may call on those who want to change the Plan to appear and speak to the Inspector at a 'hearing session' during the Public Examination. You should bear in mind that your written comments on this form will be given the same weight by the Inspector as those made verbally at a hearing session.

3a. Do you want your comments to be considered by 'written representations' or do you want to speak at a hearing session of the Public Examination? (Please tick one of the following)

I do not want to speak at a hearing session and am happy for my written comments to be considered by the Inspector.

☐ yes

I want to speak at a hearing session.

☐ no

3b. If you wish to speak, please confirm which part of your representation you wish to speak to the Inspector about and why you consider it to be necessary to speak at the Hearing.

3c. Would you like to be informed about the following (Please tick the relevant boxes)

Submission of documents and evidence to the examination

☒

Publish Inspector's report

☒

Plan's adoption

☐

If additional documents have been provided to support your representations, please list below:

Signed:

[Redacted Signature]

Dated: 29 March 2015

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS ON THE DEPOSIT PLAN

Please do not forget to enclose any relevant documentation (e.g. a Sustainability Appraisal) with this form.

Completed representation forms should be returned to the Joint Planning Policy Unit at:

ONLINE – By completing the electronic form at www.gwynedd.gov.uk/ldp or www.anglesey.gov.uk/ldp

BY EMAIL – planningpolicy@gwynedd.gov.uk

BY POST – By sending to: Joint Planning Policy Unit, 1st Floor, Bangor City Council Offices, Ffordd Gwynedd, Bangor, Gwynedd LL57 1DT

REPRESENTATION FORMS SHOULD BE RETURNED BY NO LATER THAN 5.00pm on the 31st March 2015
REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED AFTER THIS TIME WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED

Test of Soundness

Test	Procedural Tests
P1	It has not been prepared in accordance with the Delivery Agreement including the Community Involvement Scheme.
P2	The plan and its policies have not been subjected to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment.
	Consistency Tests
C1	It is a land use plan which does not have regard to other relevant plans, policies and strategies relating to the area or to adjoining areas.
C2	It does not have regard to national policy.
C3	It does not have regard to the Wales Spatial Plan.
C4	It does not have regard to the relevant community strategy.
	Coherence and Effectiveness Tests
CE1	The plan does not set out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and/or, where cross boundary issues are relevant, it is not compatible with the development plans prepared by neighbouring authorities.
CE2	The strategy, policies and allocations are not realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and/or are not founded on a robust and credible evidence base.
CE3	There are no clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
CE4	It is not reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 states that the purpose of the examination of a Local Development Plan (the Plan) is to consider whether it is “sound”. This means that anyone who wants to comment on or object to the Deposit Plan should seek to say how it is unsound and what is needed to make it sound. Sound may be considered in this context within its ordinary meaning of “*showing good judgement*” and “*able to be trusted*”. To assess the Deposit Plan we use 10 tests as set out above. The Deposit Plan will be examined by an independent Inspector appointed by the Welsh Government and it will be the Inspector’s job to consider whether the Plan is sound.

Where you propose a change to the Deposit Plan you should therefore make clear which test(s) of soundness you believe the Deposit Plan fails. The tests are in 3 groups - ‘Procedural’ (2 tests); ‘Conformity’ (4 tests); and ‘Coherence and Effectiveness’ (4 tests). If you wish to comment on the way in which the Councils have prepared the Deposit Plan, it is likely that your comments or objections would fall under one of the procedural tests. If you wish to comment on or object to the content of the Deposit Plan, it may help to look at the ‘consistency’ and the ‘coherence and effectiveness’ tests.